

**Course:** Certified ScrumMaster

**Location:** Chicago IL

**Instructor:** Derek W. Wade, Douglas Shimp

**Date:** April 2, 2009

	☹ strongly disagree		😊 ok		☺ strongly agree
<b>Interest</b> The instructor generated interesting discussions and was highly motivated.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Originality</b> The instructor shared original experiences that added value to the material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Facilitation</b> The instructor stimulated a team-based learning environment in the classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Relevance</b> The instructor's related the material to student questions and situations.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Theory</b> The instructor's demonstrated theoretical foundation was strong.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Experience</b> The instructor's use of experiences and exercises reinforced the course material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Presentation</b> The presentation material was clear, complete, and well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Validity</b> The conclusions in training material were supported by analysis of the topics.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>References</b> Appropriate references were cited in classroom materials.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Overall</b> Overall I thought this course was...	1	2	3	4	5

( Please complete the other side )

**WRITTEN REVIEW**

**What was the BEST aspect of this course?**

---

---

---

**How would you IMPROVE this course?**

---

---

---

**Any other comments you would like to add?**

---

---

---

**Is there anyone else you know who would be interested in this subject (name/contact info)?**

---

---

---

*Thank you for helping us Retrospect!*

**Finally:** After the course, each Attendee will receive two emails.

1. An invitation from the ScrumAlliance to activate your new membership.
2. An invitation to <http://evals-and-insights.com> where your online comments would be welcome.

\* Make sure you have updated the instructors' Excel sheet with an accurate email you wish to use.

**Course:** Certified ScrumMaster

**Location:** Chicago IL

**Instructor:** Derek W. Wade, Douglas Shimp

**Date:** April 2, 2009

	☹ strongly disagree		😊 ok		☺ strongly agree
<b>Interest</b> The instructor generated interesting discussions and was highly motivated.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Originality</b> The instructor shared original experiences that added value to the material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Facilitation</b> The instructor stimulated a team-based learning environment in the classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Relevance</b> The instructor's related the material to student questions and situations.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Theory</b> The instructor's demonstrated theoretical foundation was strong.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Experience</b> The instructor's use of experiences and exercises reinforced the course material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Presentation</b> The presentation material was clear, complete, and well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Validity</b> The conclusions in training material were supported by analysis of the topics.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>References</b> Appropriate references were cited in classroom materials.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Overall</b> Overall I thought this course was...	1	2	3	4	5

*Very informative and allowed me  
to start thinking on a different  
level  
( Please complete the other side )*

**WRITTEN REVIEW**

**What was the BEST aspect of this course?**

---

---

---

**How would you IMPROVE this course?**

---

---

---

**Any other comments you would like to add?**

---

---

---

**Is there anyone else you know who would be interested in this subject (name/contact info)?**

---

---

---

*Thank you for helping us Retrospect!*

**Finally:** After the course, each Attendee will receive two emails.

1. An invitation from the ScrumAlliance to activate your new membership.
2. An invitation to <http://evals-and-insights.com> where your online comments would be welcome.

\* Make sure you have updated the instructors' Excel sheet with an accurate email you wish to use.

**Course:** Certified ScrumMaster

**Location:** Chicago IL

**Instructor:** Derek W. Wade, Douglas Shimp

**Date:** April 2, 2009

	☹ strongly disagree		☺ ok		☺ strongly agree
<b>Interest</b> The instructor generated interesting discussions and was highly motivated.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Originality</b> The instructor shared original experiences that added value to the material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Facilitation</b> The instructor stimulated a team-based learning environment in the classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Relevance</b> The instructor's related the material to student questions and situations.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Theory</b> The instructor's demonstrated theoretical foundation was strong.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Experience</b> The instructor's use of experiences and exercises reinforced the course material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Presentation</b> The presentation material was clear, complete, and well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Validity</b> The conclusions in training material were supported by analysis of the topics.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>References</b> Appropriate references were cited in classroom materials.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Overall</b> Overall I thought this course was...	1	2	3	4	5

*( Please complete the other side )*

**WRITTEN REVIEW**

**What was the BEST aspect of this course?**

Simulations b/c they allowed me to apply the frameworks  
concepts immediately

**How would you IMPROVE this course?**

I would like to see more comparison b/w PBoK & Agile framework  
to understand ~~vs~~ how to apply it better

**Any other comments you would like to add?**

**Is there anyone else you know who would be interested in this subject (name/contact info)?**

*Thank you for helping us Retrospect!*

**Finally:** After the course, each Attendee will receive two emails.

1. An invitation from the ScrumAlliance to activate your new membership.
2. An invitation to <http://evals-and-insights.com> where your online comments would be welcome.

\* Make sure you have updated the instructors' Excel sheet with an accurate email you wish to use.

**Course:** Certified ScrumMaster

**Location:** Chicago IL

**Instructor:** Derek W. Wade, Douglas Shimp

**Date:** April 2, 2009

	☹ strongly disagree		☺ ok		☺ strongly agree
<b>Interest</b> The instructor generated interesting discussions and was highly motivated.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Originality</b> The instructor shared original experiences that added value to the material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Facilitation</b> The instructor stimulated a team-based learning environment in the classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Relevance</b> The instructor's related the material to student questions and situations.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Theory</b> The instructor's demonstrated theoretical foundation was strong.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Experience</b> The instructor's use of experiences and exercises reinforced the course material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Presentation</b> The presentation material was clear, complete, and well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Validity</b> The conclusions in training material were supported by analysis of the topics.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>References</b> Appropriate references were cited in classroom materials.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Overall</b> Overall I thought this course was...	1	2	3	4	5

*( Please complete the other side )*

**WRITTEN REVIEW**

What was the BEST aspect of this course?

The level of engaged attendees

How would you IMPROVE this course?

Only if it could be lengthened overall - give more time to the hands-on exercises - 8 mins/4 mins are very tight windows.

Any other comments you would like to add?

Derek was excellent trainer

Is there anyone else you know who would be interested in this subject (name/contact info)?

*Thank you for helping us Retrospect!*

**Finally:** After the course, each Attendee will receive two emails.

1. An invitation from the ScrumAlliance to activate your new membership.
2. An invitation to <http://evals-and-insights.com> where your online comments would be welcome.

\* Make sure you have updated the instructors' Excel sheet with an accurate email you wish to use.

**Course:** Certified ScrumMaster

**Location:** Chicago IL

**Instructor:** Derek W. Wade, Douglas Shimp

**Date:** April 2, 2009

	☹ strongly disagree		😊 ok		☺ strongly agree
<b>Interest</b> The instructor generated interesting discussions and was highly motivated.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Originality</b> The instructor shared original experiences that added value to the material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Facilitation</b> The instructor stimulated a team-based learning environment in the classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Relevance</b> The instructor's related the material to student questions and situations.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Theory</b> The instructor's demonstrated theoretical foundation was strong.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Experience</b> The instructor's use of experiences and exercises reinforced the course material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Presentation</b> The presentation material was clear, complete, and well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Validity</b> The conclusions in training material were supported by analysis of the topics.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>References</b> Appropriate references were cited in classroom materials.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Overall</b> Overall I thought this course was...	1	2	3	4	5

( Please complete the other side )

**WRITTEN REVIEW**

What was the BEST aspect of this course?

Simulations and discussions around them

How would you IMPROVE this course?

add more Sims

Any other comments you would like to add?

pace was a great conversation we had

Is there anyone else you know who would be interested in this subject (name/contact info)?

I'm on it!

*Thank you for helping us Retrospect!*

**Finally:** After the course, each Attendee will receive two emails.

1. An invitation from the SerumAlliance to activate your new membership.
2. An invitation to <http://evals-and-insights.com> where your online comments would be welcome.

\* Make sure you have updated the instructors' Excel sheet with an accurate email you wish to use.

**Course:** Certified ScrumMaster

**Location:** Chicago IL

**Instructor:** Derek W. Wade, Douglas Shimp

**Date:** April 2, 2009

	☹ strongly disagree		☺ ok		☺ strongly agree
<b>Interest</b> The instructor generated interesting discussions and was highly motivated.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Originality</b> The instructor shared original experiences that added value to the material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Facilitation</b> The instructor stimulated a team-based learning environment in the classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Relevance</b> The instructor's related the material to student questions and situations.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Theory</b> The instructor's demonstrated theoretical foundation was strong.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Experience</b> The instructor's use of experiences and exercises reinforced the course material.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Presentation</b> The presentation material was clear, complete, and well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Validity</b> The conclusions in training material were supported by analysis of the topics.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>References</b> Appropriate references were cited in classroom materials.	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Overall</b> Overall I thought this course was...	1	2	3	4	5

*( Please complete the other side )*

**WRITTEN REVIEW**

What was the BEST aspect of this course?

*demonstration, attention to student's questions*

How would you IMPROVE this course?

Any other comments you would like to add?

Is there anyone else you know who would be interested in this subject (name/contact info)?

*Jackson, Wanda (216) 773-1183*

*Thank you for helping us Retrospect!*

**Finally:** After the course, each Attendee will receive two emails.

1. An invitation from the ScrumAlliance to activate your new membership.
2. An invitation to <http://evals-and-insights.com> where your online comments would be welcome.

\* Make sure you have updated the instructors' Excel sheet with an accurate email you wish to use.

**Course:** Certified ScrumMaster

**Location:** Chicago IL

**Instructor:** Derek W. Wade, Douglas Shimp

**Date:** April 2, 2009

	☹ strongly disagree	1	2	3	4	☺ strongly agree
<b>Interest</b> The instructor generated interesting discussions and was highly motivated.		1	2	3	4	5
<b>Originality</b> The instructor shared original experiences that added value to the material.		1	2	3	4	5
<b>Facilitation</b> The instructor stimulated a team-based learning environment in the classroom.		1	2	3	4	5
<b>Relevance</b> The instructor's related the material to student questions and situations.		1	2	3	4	5
<b>Theory</b> The instructor's demonstrated theoretical foundation was strong.		1	2	3	4	5
<b>Experience</b> The instructor's use of experiences and exercises reinforced the course material.		1	2	3	4	5
<b>Presentation</b> The presentation material was clear, complete, and well organized.		1	2	3	4	5
<b>Validity</b> The conclusions in training material were supported by analysis of the topics.		1	2	3	4	5
<b>References</b> Appropriate references were cited in classroom materials.		1	2	3	4	5
<b>Overall</b> Overall I thought this course was...		1	2	3	4	5

*( Please complete the other side )*

**WRITTEN REVIEW**

What was the BEST aspect of this course?

Course material, Group/Instructor Interaction, Sprint Exercises

How would you IMPROVE this course?

---

---

---

Any other comments you would like to add?

---

---

---

Is there anyone else you know who would be interested in this subject (name/contact info)?

---

---

---

*Thank you for helping us Retrospect!*

**Finally:** After the course, each Attendee will receive two emails.

1. An invitation from the ScrumAlliance to activate your new membership.
2. An invitation to <http://evals-and-insights.com> where your online comments would be welcome.

\* Make sure you have updated the instructors' Excel sheet with an accurate email you wish to use.